ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:02, Issue:02

THE IMPACT OF INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARD (IFRS) ADOPTION ON THE QUALITY OF CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL REPORTING IN NIGERIA

¹JOSEPH BABATUNDE AKEJU, ²AHMED ADESHINA BABATUNDE

¹CHIEF LECTURER, DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTANCY, YABA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY, LAGOS, NIGERIA

²PRINCIPAL LECTURER, DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTANCY, LAGOS CITY POLYTECHNIC, IKEJA, LAGOS, NIGERIA

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the impact of IFRS adoption on the quality of consolidated financial reporting in Nigeria. This research has been performed using a sample of 20 companies listed on the Nigeria Stock Exchange (NSE) from 2010 to 2015. The relationship between the explained variable (market price per share) and explanatory variables was observed. The results of the multiple regression analysis were statistically significant at 0.001, 0.01 and 0.05 levels. The findings of the study revealed that the adoption of IFRS in the preparation of consolidated financial statement increases the quality of financial reporting in Nigeria. The research evidence also indicated that the adoption of IFRS increases the value relevance (relative quality) of financial reporting in Nigeria. Moreover, we ascertained an incremental utility (quality surplus) of financial reporting as a result of adoption of IFRS in Nigeria.

Keywords: International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS), Statement of Accounting Standard (SAS), Consolidated Financial Reporting, Nigeria Stock Exchange (NSE)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) is a set of high quality, understandable, enforceable and globally accepted standards based on clearly articulated principles (Muller, 2014). It is a set of accounting standard developed by an independent, not-for-profit organization called International Accounting Standard Board (IASB). The introduction of high quality globally acceptable financial reporting standard originated in 1973 when the International Accounting Standard Originated by 16 professional bodies from the

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:02, Issue:02

following countries; USA, United Kingdom, France, Canada, Germany, Australia, Japan, Netherlands and Mexico (Garuba and Donwa, 2011). International Accounting Standard Committee (IASC) was properly recognized in 2001 and later transformed to International Accounting Standard Board (IASB) which developed accounting standards and related interpretations jointly known as International Financial Reporting Standard (Ezeani and Oladele, 2012). International Financial Reporting Standards are formulated to promote unionization of accounting standards around the world for better quality and fair value at international level (Abdul Rashid et al, 2012).

Before the introduction of IFRS, most countries had their indigenous standards with local bodies responsible for the development and issuance of local standards (Abata, 2015). In Nigeria, the Nigerian Accounting Standards Board (NASB) was responsible for developing and issuing standards known as Statement of Accounting Standard (SAS) and NASB was later renamed on June 2011 by Financial Reporting Act No 6, 2011as Financial Reporting Council of Nigeria (FRCN) as the regulatory body overseeing the adoption and implementation of International Financial Reporting Standard (Kenneth, 2012).

The Federal Executive Council of Nigeria (FEC) approved the convergence of Statement of Accounting Standard (SAS) with International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) with effect from January 1st, 2012.

The Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) and Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) also adopted this date for compliance and guidance compliance circulars were issued to ensure full implementation of IFRS in Nigeria.

All quoted Companies and Significant Interest Entities are required by the IFRS Adoption Roadmap Committee (2010) to adopt the IFRS by January 1st 2012. All other public entities are mandated to adopt IFRS by January 2013 while Small and Medium Scale Enterprises are expected to adopt IFRS by January 2014. All quoted companies were required to prepare their closing balances as at December 31st, 2010 in line with IFRS provisions.

Consequently, the closing balances as at 31st December, 2010 will become the opening balances as at January 1st 2011 for IFRS-based financial statement as at December 31,2011. The opening balances as at January 1st 2012 will be the first FIRS full financial statements in line with the provision of IFRS as at December 31, 2012.

IFRS was formulated in order to enhance standardization of accounting regulations around the world. It provides better quality financial statements as compared to the then existing regulations (Barth et al, 2008; Prather-Kinsey et al, 2008).

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:02, Issue:02

Financial information is provided through financial statements of entities quoted on the capital market (Muller, 2014). Some companies listed on Nigeria Stock Exchange (NSE) own one or more subsidiaries and are required to prepare consolidated financial statements for the group as a whole in accordance with IFRS provisions. The quality of financial reporting is indispensable to the needs of the stakeholders who require them for investment and other decision-making purposes (Fashina and Adegbite, 2014).

Research evidence has shown that IFRS influences the economic status of many firms and ensure accuracy of the value relevance of financial statements (Barthe et al, 2008; Daske et al, 2008; Prather-Kinsey et al, 2008).

This paper investigates the impact of IFRS adoption on the quality of consolidated financial reporting in Nigeria.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

A large number of researches have investigated the motivations behind the adoption of IFRS by emerging economies like Nigeria. Saidi (2013) argued that the decision to adopt IFRS is premised on the fact that developing countries are generally unable to allocate the financial and technical resources needed to develop high-quality and indigenous accounting standards. Several studies have examined the adoption of the International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) across the world.

Muller (2014) measured the impact of IFRS adoption on the quality of consolidated financial reporting in Germany using multiple regression model for a period of 4 years (2003-2006). The results of the findings revealed an increase in consolidated financial statement quality as a result of the adoption of IFRS.

Hung and Subramanian (2004) examined the effect of IFRS adoption on financial statements and their value relevance for a sample of German listed firms from 1998-2002. The evidence of the study revealed that total assets, book values of equity, variability of book value and net income are significantly higher under FIRS than German GAAP.

Yahaya et al (2015) investigated the effects of IFRS adoption on the financial statements of Banks in Nigeria using multiple regression model. The results showed that IFRS adoption has impacted positively on profitability and growth potentials of Nigerian Banks.

Zakari (2014) investigated the challenges of IFRS adoption in Libya using descriptive statistics. The research evidence indicated that IFRS adoption by Libyan Companies has faced some obstacles such as accounting education and economic issues.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:02, Issue:02

Irvine and Lucas (2006) examined the challenges facing the adoption of IFRS in the United Arab Emirates. The finding of the study suggests that UAE needs to develop appropriate regulatory systems to overcome cultural issues relating to secrecy and fraud in the adoption of IFRS.

Duarte et al (2015) investigated IFRS adoption and accounting quality in Brazil using bibliometric analysis for a period of 7 years (2007-2013). The evidence of the study revealed that the determinants of accounting quality after the adoption of IFRS are articulated in the quality of the standard's political and judicial system in the country and financial reporting incentives.

Ahmed and Alam (2012) investigated the effect of IFRS adoption on the reports of Local Government Entities in Australia using descriptive statistics and analysis of variance (ANOVA). The results of the findings revealed that the adoption of IFRS impacts positively on the surplus, equity, assets and liabilities of Local Government Entities in Australia.

Onalo et al (2014) investigated IFRS and the quality of banks' financial statement information in Nigeria from 2008 to 2013 using ordinary least square (OLS) regression model. The research evidence revealed that IFRS adoption engenders high quality of banks' financial statement in Nigeria.

Taouab et al (2014) conducted an empirical investigation on the adoption of IFRS in Morocco using a logistic regression model. The evidence of the findings revealed that the adoption of IFRS has a significant positive effect on firms' size.

Adetula et al (2014) investigated the adoption of IFRS by Small and Medium Scale Enterprises (SMES) in Nigeria using descriptive statistics. The results showed that the adoption of IFRS is relevant to Small and Medium Enterprises in Nigeria. The study also revealed that the adoption of IFRS is currently confronted with diverse challenges that may prevent the effective adoption and implementation of IFRS by SMES in Nigeria.

Abata (2015) examined the impact of IFRS adoption on financial reporting practice in Nigerian banking sector from 2010 to 2012 based on descriptive and inferential statistics. The research evidence revealed that IFRS has an impact on financial reporting practices of Nigerian banks.

Gassen and Selhorn (2006) tested the adoption of International Financial Reporting Standard by publicly traded German firms. The empirical evidence showed that size, international exposure, dispersion of ownership are important determinants of IFRS of publicly traded German firms.

Barthe et al (2008) compared domestic GAAP and IAS/IFRS across 21 Countries. The study revealed that firms applying IAS/IFRS exhibit less earnings management, more timely loss regulation and more value-relevant accounting measures.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:02, Issue:02

3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The broad objective of this study is to investigate the impact of International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) adoption on the quality of consolidated financial reporting in Nigeria. Data were obtained from the annual reports of 20 quoted companies in Nigeria for a period of 6 years (2010-2015) and daily official listing of the Nigeria Stock Exchange (NSE). The data obtained for the purpose of the study were analyzed using multiple regression model.

In order to test the impact of mandatory adoption of IFRS on the absolute and incremental value relevance and the quality of financial information provided by consolidated financial statements, we developed econometric models, which measure the degree of association between share price and accounting information provided by financial statements. The bases for these are Ohlson (1995) model and Muller (2014) model, which express share price as a function of current accounting value of equity plus the discounted value of future (abnormal) results.

MODEL 1

This model shows the relationship between market price per share and quality of consolidated financial statements, represented by book value of equity of the parent company and net income per share of the parent company.

 $P_{t} = \beta 0 + \beta 1 \text{VEPt} + \beta 2 \text{NIPt} + \mu t (1)$

Where $P_t = Current$ market price

 β = Regression coefficients

 $BVEP_t = Book$ value of equity of the parent company in year t

 NIP_t = Net income per share of the parent company in year t

 μ_t = Stochastic error term in year t

MODEL 2

This model shows the relationship between market price per share and value relevance (relative quality) of consolidated financial statements, represented by book value of group equity per share and group earnings per share.

 $P_t = \beta 0 + \beta 1 BVGEt + \beta 2 GEPSt + \mu t$

(2)

Where P_t = Current market price

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:02, Issue:02

 β = Regression coefficient

 $BVGE_t = Book$ value of group equity per share in year t

 $GEPS_t = Group \text{ earnings per share in year } t$

MODEL 3

This model shows the relationship between market price per share and incremental utility (quality surplus) of consolidated financial statements, represented by change in book value of group equity per share and change in group earnings per share.

 $P_{t} = \beta 0 + \beta 1 B V E P_{t} + \beta 2 \Delta B V G E_{t} + \beta 3 N I P_{t} + \beta 4 \Delta G E P S_{t} + \mu_{t}$ (3)

Where $\Delta BVGE_t$ = Change in book value of group equity per share in year t

 $\Delta GEPS_t$ = Change in group earnings per share in year t

4.0 RESULTS

IADLE4.0.1	CORRELATION MATRIA								
	PEARSON CORRELATION								
	P0	BVGE _t	GEPSt	BVEP _t	NIPt	$\Delta BVGE_t$	$\Delta GEPS_t$		
P0	1.000								
BVGE _t	0.685	1.000							
GEPSt	0.714	0.733	1.000						
BVEPt	0.639	0.726	0.684	1.000					
NIPt	0.612	0.642	0.525	0.387	1.000				
$\Delta BVGE_t$	0.601	0.659	0.638	0.104	0.132	1.000			
$\Delta GEPS_t$	0.546	0.551	0.447	0.235	0.106	0.508	1.000		
Source: Authors' Computation 2017									

TABLE4.0.1CORRELATION MATRIX

Source: Authors' Computation, 2017

From the Pearson correlation matrix above regarding the association between the variables employed in the study, it could be seen that there is a significant positive correlation between the

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:02, Issue:02

explained variable (market price per share) and the explanatory variables. It must be noted that significant correlation exists between some explanatory variables used in the models. This indicates the existence of multicollinearity, which is common to studies of this nature.

To examine if the existence of multicollinearity generates instability in our empirical study, we computed the variance inflation factor (VIF) for each coefficient of the explanatory variables. The existence of variance inflation factor of more than 5 is an indication of serious autocorrelation problems between the independent variables.

Period/Sample Characteristics								
	•	β0	BVEP _t	NIPt	F	Adjusted R ²		
2010	α	10.238	0.817	1.824				
SAS	t	3.895****	4.906***	3.477***	104.128	0.363		
n = 20	VIF		1.638	1.638				
2011	α	9.416	0.712	1.686				
SAS	t	3.025****	2.841****	2.293****	73.538	0.324		
n = 20	VIF		2.542	2.542				
2012	α	12.176	0.903	2.781				
IFRS	t	4.038****	7.186****	3.309**	106.938	0.422		
n = 20	VIF		3.605	3.605				
2013	α	12.188	0.925	2.118				
IFRS	t	4.321****	7.139****	2.704***	109.048	0.511		
n = 20	VIF		3.885	3.885				
2014	α	12.227	0.921	2.397				
IFRS	t	4.698****	7.436****	2.882***	110.376	0.625		
n = 20	VIF		3.974	3.974				
2015	α	13.069	0.933	2.406				
IFRS	t	4.718****	7.485****	3.039**	113.875	0.639		
n = 20	VIF	1 444 0	3.182	3.182				

TABLE 4.0.2

**** Significant @ 0.001, *** Significant @0.01, ** Significant @ 0.05

Source: Authors' Computation, 2017

Table 4.0.2 above shows the relationship between the market price per share and quality of consolidated financial statements, represented by book value of equity of the parent company and net income per share of the parent company.

The difference between the quality of consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS and quality of consolidated financial statement prepared in the context of SAS is revealed by the

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:02, Issue:02

explanatory power of Adjusted R^2 . The adjusted R^2 was higher in 2012 (42.2%), 2013 (51.15), 2014 (62.5%) and 2015 (63.9%) after IFRS adoption than before IFRS adoption (2010-2011). Due to the fact that the explanatory power of the econometric model is used as a measure of the quality of financial statement, it implies that IFRS adoption increases the quality of consolidated financial statements in Nigeria.

Period/Sample			Characteristics			
		β0	BVGE	GEPS	F	Adjusted R ²
2010	α	8.901	0.629	1.734		
SAS	t	2.397****	4.895****	2.318***	83.171	0.323
n = 20	VIF		1.638	1.638		
2011	α	10.818	0.725	1.716		
SAS	t	3.782****	3.341****	2.403****	88.132	0.385
n = 20	VIF		2.217	2.217		
2012	α	11.673	0.908	2.362		
IFRS	t	3.581****	6.684****	2.015**	105.237	0.579
n = 20	VIF		3.336	3.336		
2013	α	11.758	0.916	2.107		
IFRS	t	4.112****	7.033****	2.698***	108.31	0.594
n = 20	VIF		3.751	3.751		
2014	α	11.849	0.728	4.031		
IFRS	t	4.337****	6.895****	2.104***	115.106	0.707
n = 20	VIF		3.186	3.186		
2015	α	11.877	0.739	4.166		
IFRS	t	4.408****	6.946****	2.119***	116.837	0.714
n = 20	VIF	1 *** 0.	3.348	3.348		

TABLE 4.0.3

**** Significant @ 0.001, *** Significant @0.01, ** Significant @ 0.05

Source: Authors' Computation, 2017

Table 4.0.3 above shows the relationship between the market price per share and value relevance (relative quality) of consolidated financial statements, represented by book value of group equity and group equity per share.

The difference between the value relevance of consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS and value relevance of consolidated financial statement prepared in the context of SAS is revealed by the explanatory power of Adjusted R^2 . The adjusted R^2 was higher in 2012 (57.9%), 2013 (59.4%), 2014 (70.7%) and 2015 (71.4%) than before IFRS adoption (2010-

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:02, Issue:02

2011). The empirical evidence shows that IFRS adoption increases the value relevance (relative quality) of consolidated financial statements in Nigeria.

mple		Characterist					
	β0	BVEPt	$\Delta BVGE_t$	NIPt	$\Delta GEPS_t$	F	Adjusted R ²
α	8.039	0.546	0.699	3.351	0.194		
t	2.175****	4.624****	2.188***	2.909	0.228	75.373	0.441
VIF		1.836	1.029	1.748	1.089		
α	9.26	0.804	0.705	3.913	0.208		
t	3.429****	4.141****	2.397***	2.968	0.395	83.306	0.396
VIF		1.906	1.275	1.834	1.117		
α	10.147	0.898	0.773	4.015	0.232		
t	3.764****	4.285****	2.455**	3.006	0.431	88.435	0.685
VIF		1.918	1.288	1.963	0.448		
α	10.166	0.909	0.784	4.036	0.258		
t	3.834****	4.297****	2.514***	3.148	0.504	91.337	0.693
VIF		1.926	1.301	1.977	0.516		
α	11.224	0.935	0.792	4.044	0.269		
t	3.907****	4.374****	2.597**	3.166	0.548	93.275	0.784
VIF		2.038	1.786	2.113	0.527		
α	11.472	0.957	0.886	4.075	0.386		
t	4.055****	4.698****	2.804***	3.679	0.594	95.203	0.812
VIF		2.133	1.892	2.265	0.644		
	$\begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ t \\ VIF \\ \alpha \\ t \\ vir \\ t \\ vir \\$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} & \beta 0 \\ \alpha & 8.039 \\ t & 2.175^{****} \\ \hline \\ VIF & \\ \hline \\ \alpha & 9.26 \\ t & 3.429^{****} \\ \hline \\ VIF & \\ \hline \\ \alpha & 10.147 \\ t & 3.764^{****} \\ \hline \\ VIF & \\ \hline \\ \alpha & 10.166 \\ t & 3.834^{****} \\ \hline \\ VIF & \\ \hline \\ \alpha & 11.224 \\ t & 3.907^{****} \\ \hline \\ VIF & \\ \hline \\ \alpha & 11.472 \\ t & 4.055^{****} \\ \hline \\ VIF & \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\beta0$ $BVEP_t$ α 8.039 0.546 t 2.175^{****} 4.624^{****} VIF1.836 α 9.26 0.804 t 3.429^{****} 4.141^{****} VIF1.906 α 10.147 0.898 t 3.764^{****} 4.285^{****} VIF1.918 α 10.166 0.909 t 3.834^{****} 4.297^{****} VIF1.926 α 11.224 0.935 t 3.907^{****} 4.374^{****} VIF2.038 α 11.472 0.957 t 4.055^{****} 4.698^{****} VIF2.133	β0BVEPtΔBVGEt α 8.0390.5460.699t2.175****4.624****2.188***VIF1.8361.029 α 9.260.8040.705t3.429****4.141****2.397***VIF1.9061.275 α 10.1470.8980.773t3.764****4.285****2.455**VIF1.9181.288 α 10.1660.9090.784t3.834***4.297***2.514***VIF1.9261.301 α 11.2240.9350.792t3.907***4.374***2.597**VIF2.0381.786 α 11.4720.9570.886t4.055****4.698****2.804***VIF2.1331.892	$\beta0$ $BVEP_t$ $\Delta BVGE_t$ NIP_t α 8.039 0.546 0.699 3.351 t 2.175^{****} 4.624^{****} 2.188^{***} 2.909 VIF1.836 1.029 1.748 α 9.26 0.804 0.705 3.913 t 3.429^{****} 4.141^{****} 2.397^{***} 2.968 VIF1.906 1.275 1.834 α 10.147 0.898 0.773 4.015 t 3.764^{****} 4.285^{****} 2.455^{**} 3.006 VIF1.918 1.288 1.963 α 10.166 0.909 0.784 4.036 t 3.834^{****} 4.297^{****} 2.514^{***} 3.148 VIF1.926 1.301 1.977 α 11.224 0.935 0.792 4.044 t 3.907^{****} 4.374^{****} 2.597^{**} 3.166 VIF2.038 1.786 2.113 α 11.472 0.957 0.886 4.075 t 4.055^{****} 4.698^{****} 2.804^{***} 3.679 VIF 2.133 1.892 2.265	β0BVEPt $\Delta BVGE_t$ NIPt $\Delta GEPS_t$ α8.0390.5460.6993.3510.194t2.175***4.624***2.188***2.9090.228VIF1.8361.0291.7481.089α9.260.8040.7053.9130.208t3.429***4.141***2.397***2.9680.395VIF1.9061.2751.8341.117α10.1470.8980.7734.0150.232t3.764***4.285***2.455**3.0060.431VIF1.9181.2881.9630.448α10.1660.9090.7844.0360.258t3.834***4.297***2.514***3.1480.504VIF1.9261.3011.9770.516α11.2240.9350.7924.0440.269t3.907***4.374***2.597**3.1660.548VIF2.0381.7862.1130.527α11.4720.9570.8864.0750.386t4.055***4.698***2.804***3.6790.594VIF2.1331.8922.2650.644	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

TABLE 4.0.4

**** Significant @ 0.001, *** Significant @0.01, ** Significant @ 0.05

Source: Authors' Computation, 2016

Table 4.0.4 above shows the relationship between the market price per share and quality surplus (incremental utility) of consolidated financial statements, represented by change in book value of group equity per share and change in group earnings per share. The difference between the incremental utility of consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS and incremental utility of consolidated financial statement prepared in the context of SAS is revealed by the difference between the explanatory powers (Adjusted R²). The adjusted R² was higher in 2012 (68.5%), 2013 (69.3%), 2014 (78.4%) and 2015 (81.2%) after the IFRS adoption than before IFRS adoption. The empirical evidence shows that IFRS adoption increases the quality surplus of consolidated financial statements in Nigeria.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:02, Issue:02

TABLE 4.0.5	ADOPTION							
	BEFORE	IFRS	AFTER IFRS					
	2010 2011		2012	2013	2014	2015		
Adjusted R2	36.3	32.4	42.2	61.1	62.5	63.9		
Model 1								
Adjusted R2	44.1	39.6	48.5	69.3	70.4	81.2		
Model 3								
Δ Adjusted R2	7.8	7.2	6.3	8.2	7.9	17.3		
Sig	0.001	0.002	0.004	0.000	0.001	0.000		
1 10		_						

VALUE SURPLUS OF IFRS 0.5 ADOPTION

Source: Authors' Computation, 2017

Table 4.0.5 shows the incremental utility of consolidated financial statement before and after IFRS adoption.

The difference between the utility of consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS and utility of consolidated financial statement prepared in the context of SAS is revealed by an increase in the difference between the explanatory powers (Adjusted R^2). The difference in adjusted R^2 was 26.3% in 2012, 18.2% in 2013, 15.9% in 2014 and 17.3% in 2015 (17.3%). The findings of the study revealed that IFRS adoption maximises the quality surplus (incremental utility) of consolidated financial statements in Nigeria.

5.0 CONCLUSION

This study examines the impact of International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) adoption on consolidated financial reporting in Nigeria using multiple regression analysis. The evidence of the findings revealed a significant positive relationship between IFRS adoption and quality of consolidated financial statement. Moreover, a significant positive relationship was also found between IFRS adoption and value relevance (relative quality) of consolidated financial statement. The research evidence also shows that IFRS adoption increases the quality surplus (incremental utility) of consolidated financial statement.

The empirical evidence revealed that IFRS adoption increases the qua;ity of consolidated financial reporting in Nigeria

Volume:02, Issue:02

REFERENCES

Abata, M.A. (2015) The Impact of International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) Adoption on Financial Reporting Practice in the Nigerian Banking Sector. Journal of Policy and Development Studies, 9 (2), 169-184.

Abdur Rashid, H., Amin, F. & Farooqui, A. (2012) International Financial Reporting Standard and its Influence on Pakistan. Journal of Applied Finance and Banking, 2 (2), 1-13.

Adetula, D.T., Owolabi, F. & Onyinye, O.I. (2014) International Financial Reporting Standard for SMES Adoption Process in Nigeria. European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Financial Research, 2 (4), 33-38.

Ahmed, K. & Alam, M. (2012) The Effect of IFRS Adoption on the Financial Reports of Local Government Entities in Australia. Australian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal, 6 (3), 110-120.

Barthe, M.E., Landsman, W.R. & Lang, M. (2008) International Accounting Standards and Accounting Quality. Journal of Accounting Research, 46, 467-498.

Daske, H., Hail, C. & Verdi, R. (2008) Mandatory IFRS Reporting Around the World: Early Evidence on the Economic Consequences. Journal of Accounting Research, 46, 1085-1142.

Duarte, A.M.P. & Azevedo, G.M.C. (2015) International Financial Reporting Standard Adoption Accounting Quality: A Review. Journal of Business and Economic Policy, 2 (2), 104-123.

Ezeani, N.S. & Oladele, R. (2012) Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standard to enhance Financial Reporting in Nigeria Universities. Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review, 2 (3).

Fashina, H. & Adegbite, T. (2014) Empirical Analysis of the Effect of IFRS Adoption on Accounting Practices in Nigeria. Archives of Business Research, 2 (2), 1-14.

Garuba, A.O. & Donwa, P. (2011) The Challenges of Adopting International Financial Reporting Standard in Nigeria. Journal of Industrial Relations, 9, 313-317.

Gassen, J. & Selhorn, T. (2006) Applying IFRS in Germany: Determinants and Consequences: Social Science Research Network, 58 (4), 1-38.

Hung, M. & Subramanyam, k. (2007) Financial Statement Effects of Adopting IAS: The Case of Germany. Review of Accounting Studies, 12 (4), 2!-48.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:02, Issue:02

IFRS Road Map Adoption Committee (2010) Report of the Committee on Road Map to the Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards in Nigeria

Irvine, H. & Lucas, N. (2006) TheGlobalization of Accounting Standards: The Case of the United Arab Emirates. Working Paper, 3rd International Conference on Contemporary Business, Charles Sturt University, Australia.

Kenneth, E.O. (2012) Adoption of IFRS and Financial Statements Effects: The Perceived Implications of Foreign Direct Investment and Nigeria Economy. Australian Journal of Business and Management Research, 2 (5), 76-83.

Muller, V. (2014) The Impact of IFRS Adoption on the Quality of Consolidated Financial Reporting. 2nd World Conference on Business, Economics and Management. Available <u>online@www.sciencedirect.com</u>

Ohlson, J.A. (1995) Earnings, Book Values and Dividends in Security Valuation. Contemporary Accounting Research, 11 (2), 661-687.

Onalo, U., Lizam, M. & Kaseri, A. (2014) International Financial Reporting Standards and the Quality of Banks Financial Statement Information: Evidence from an Emerging Market-Nigeria. European Journal of Business and Social Sciences, 3 (8), 243-255.

Prather-Kinsey, J., Jermakowicz, E. & Vongphanith, T. (2008) Capital Market Consequences of European Firms' Mandatory Adoption of IFRS. Working Paper, University of Missouri.

Saidi, F. (2013) Accounting Developments in Algeria: The Road to International Financial Reporting Standard. International Research Journal of Applied Finance, 4 (1), 124-142.

Taouab, O., Ahsina, K. &Daghri, T. (2014) An Empirical Investigation of Adopting IFRS Accounting Standards: Evidence from Morocco. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 5 (14), 1-5.

Yahaya, O.A., Yusuf, M.J. & Dania, I.S. (2015) International Financial Reporting Standard Adoption and Financial Statement Effects: Evidence from Listed Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 6 (12), 107-122.

Zakari, M.A. (2014) Challenges of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) Adoption in Libya. International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting, 4 (2), 390-412.